

General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

NASA TM -86517

N85-34511

63/60 Unclass
22159

By Ralph Kissel and James Currie

**Information and Electronic Systems Laboratory
Science and Engineering Directorate**

July 1985

National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

George C. Marshall Space Flight Center

TECHNICAL REPORT STANDARD TITLE PAGE

1. REPORT NO. NASA TM-86517		2. GOVERNMENT ACCESSION NO.		3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NO.	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Hardware Math for the 6502 Microprocessor				5. REPORT DATE July 1985	
				6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE	
7. AUTHOR(S) Ralph Kissel and James Currie				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT #	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS George C. Marshall Space Flight Center Marshall Space Flight Center, Alabama 35812				10. WORK UNIT NO.	
				11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	
				13. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Memorandum	
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546				14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE	
15. SUPPLEMENTAR NOTES Prepared by Information and Electronic Systems Laboratory, Science and Engineering Directorate.					
16. ABSTRACT A floating-point arithmetic unit is described which is being used in the Ground Facility for Large Space Structures Control Verification (GF/LSSCV). The experiment uses two complete inertial measurement units and a set of three gimbal torquers in a closed loop to control the structural vibrations in a flexible test article (beam). A 6502 (8-bit) microprocessor controls four AMD 9511A floating-point arithmetic units to do all the computation in 20 milliseconds.					
17. KEY WORDS 6502 Microprocessor Hardware Math Floating-point Coprocessor AIM 65			18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Unclassified - Unlimited		
19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this report) Unclassified		20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page) Unclassified		21. NO. OF PAGES 18	
				22. PRICE NTIS	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
HARDWARE	1
SOFTWARE	2
PERFORMANCE	4
SUMMARY	4
REFERENCES	5
APPENDIX	13

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Title	Page
1.	Timing diagrams	6
2.	Single-unit interface	7
3.	Quad-unit interface	8
4.	Single-unit multiply example	9
5.	AIM-to-8231 format conversion	10
6.	8231-to-AIM format conversion	11
A-1.	Timeline subroutine	14
A-2.	LSS timeline	15

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

HARDWARE MATH FOR THE 6502 MICROPROCESSOR

INTRODUCTION

Floating-point arithmetic is generally a time-consuming task, especially on an 8-bit microprocessor. The system described here is the result of a growing arithmetic workload in a real-time control system using the given 6502 microprocessor. Four AMD 9511A's (Intel 8231A) were used in parallel and connected directly to the 6502. The 6502 (in the Rockwell AIM 65) was clocked at 2 MHz and the 9511's at 4 MHz.

Originally, the AIM 65 was to read data from six gyros and six accelerometers (two complete inertial navigation systems) and from two resolvers, then send these data to a central computer once each 20 ms. Then, more computation became necessary as strapdown algorithms, control algorithms, and finally, everything except mass storage was added to the software. The system described here does all this arithmetic in the required time and can be adapted to any 6502 system to give an arithmetic speedup of about 100 times over BASIC.

HARDWARE

Figure 1 shows the timing diagrams for a 6502 at 2 MHz and a 9511 at 4 MHz. The 9511 is asynchronous which makes interfacing easier. The biggest hardware problem with the 6502 is that it cannot be stopped during a write. The problem with timing is to obtain the 25 ns minimum between WR going high and CS, A0 going high.

Figure 2 shows the one-9511 interface for a typical 6502 system and Figure 3 shows the full four-9511 interface as described in this report.

Reference 1 gives details on interfacing a 9511 to a 6502 by using a 6522 VIA interface chip. This method is straightforward to implement, but has so much overhead that it is primarily useful only for trigonometric functions.

Reference 2 gives details of another method for directly connecting the 9511 to a 6502 (OSI Superboard II). The method was optimized to the OSI board, but could probably have been adapted as needed had it been discovered soon enough.

DMA is generally the fastest method that could be used, but was not worked out for the 6502. It would be quite complex and may not actually be any faster than the method used here.

The actual hardware connections between the 6502 bus and the 9511's went through a Computerist, Inc., DRAM board which was already part of this AIM 65 system. Chip select (CS) was also done by the DRAM board, although this was only for convenience. Another, more direct method to generate the control signals could be used so long as the same timing is kept.

Interfaces for other microprocessors (using one 9511) are generally simpler than that for the 6502 and are already published by AMD.

SOFTWARE

In the four-APU system all software was handwritten. There is about 5K object code and 3K BASIC. Reference 2 used a compiler, but none was written here. More than one APU implies overlap and critical timing considerations to get in maximum speed and efficiency, so a compiler did not seem feasible.

A simulation was written for the desktop HP 9845A, which plotted timelines for the CPU and each of the four APU's. Busy and idle times are all clearly shown, so code could be inserted if needed. Any APU overlap (sending to a busy APU, etc.) was also flagged (see Appendix).

Another simulation of the actual arithmetic was written for the HP 9845A so numerical correctness could be checked. This simulation did the same calculations, in the same order, as the AIM 65 system itself. Still another simulation (done by a contractor as part of an overall system study) was made to do the original theoretical algorithms. Eventually, all results agreed and the timeline indicated a worst-case time less than 20 ms.

Hardware is arranged so that each APU, or any combination of APU's, has a unique address. Data are sent by doing a LDA data then STA address which takes 4 μ s total. One floating-point number for an APU contains 4 bytes so the minimum time to load is 16 μ s. A command will usually only require 3 μ s to send - LDA immediate, STA address. A data read requires 4 μ s.

Two methods were used to determine when an APU is finished. One is to check the busy bit in the status register of a particular APU. The other is reading a special address which contains the four APU END lines as the lower four bits and zeros in the upper four. This extra hardware was added to increase CPU response time and reduce software volume since more than one APU status check would normally require a separate read and check for each overlapped APU. Waiting for all end lines to go low requires only one read of this added register.

Sometimes no check of APU status was made at all, since it was known that the APU could not be busy. Interrupts were not used since response time is much too slow (at least 21 μ s at 2 MHz), plus I/O uses interrupts also (conflict).

The hardware was designed such that the CPU will halt when an APU read is attempted and that APU is busy. It will remain stopped until the APU is no longer busy. On a write, however, no attempt is made to stop the CPU since it won't stop during a write. It will stop only on the next non-write instruction. This causes a continual problem and requires implementing a check to be sure the APU is not busy before writing to it. Figure 4 is an example of how an APU can be used.

There is another 6502 peculiarity that has to be kept in mind when the memory map is being laid out. It only applies when indexed instructions are used across a page boundary and reflects the 6502 design rules. During one microcycle the address of the incorrect preceding page is actually put on the bus and could activate whatever was there. This is always a read, but an APU could still be triggered if it happened to have that address.

PERFORMANCE

The quad system in this application is running at 0.026 MFLOP. This includes CPU overhead, APU idle time, APU stack manipulations, etc. An 8086-8087 at 5 MHz would do about 0.021 MFLOP. A 6 MHz 68000-16081 combination should be around 0.050 MFLOP and an HP9000 using compiled BASIC without floating-point hardware about 0.075 MFLOP.

Overhead generally doubles the time it takes to do any particular operation. Data must be moved into and out of the APU, stack changes must be made to obtain higher efficiency, and the CPU must sometimes wait for an APU to finish.

More APU's could be tied to one APU (2 MHz), but four is about the limit for simple arithmetic. If trigonometric functions were the primary requirement, then up to 10 APU's could be kept busy.

FORTH would be much better than BASIC for most applications where the maximum speed is not required. Pure assembly code squeezes an extra factor of 5 to 10 out of the hardware. With FORTH, little or no assembly code would be needed.

Using all in-line code, (MACROS) could increase the overall speed by maybe 10 percent, but at a cost of three times the original program memory (5K to 15K here).

SUMMARY

The quad-9511 system described here works rather well, but is time consuming to program and debug. A typical application would only have one APU, which would be much easier to use. One APU would also make writing a compiler a feasible and useful task.

Overlapped APU operation makes program changes something to be done with great care. Also, the carry flag and the X-register sometimes are expected to retain their value through several subroutines. The CPU is often doing non-APU operations while some APU's are still busy. Overflow and underflow may be a problem and must be considered, particularly in a real-time system. The LSS unit continues to operate as expected.

REFERENCES

1. DeJong, Marvin L.: Interfacing the AM9511 Arithmetic Processing Unit. **COMPUTE!**, November-December 1980.
2. Hart, John E.: Microcrunch: An Ultra-fast Arithmetic Computing System. **MICRO**, August 1981 (Part 1), September 1981 (Part 2).

2 MHZ 6502A TO 4 MHZ 9511A DIRECT ON BUS





Figure 1. Timing diagrams.

RULES

- 1) CHIP ENABLE \overline{CS} AND A0 MUST BE STABLE BEFORE \overline{RD} OR \overline{WR} GO LOW.
- 2) \overline{RD} MUST RETURN HIGH ≥ 0 NS BEFORE \overline{CS} GOES HIGH OR A0 CHANGES.
- 3) \overline{WR} MUST RETURN HIGH AT LEAST 25 NS BEFORE \overline{CS} GOES HIGH OR A0 CHANGES.
- 4) ON READ CYCLE NOT READY MUST BE SET LO BEFORE $\phi 2$ GOES HIGH.



*CLK THE CLOCK FREQUENCY FOR THE 8231A IS 4 MHZ.

$\phi 0$ THE AIM 65 CHIP CLOCK IS 2 MHZ. OR LESS.

ADDRESS LINES (INCLUDES VS1) SHOULD BE BUFFERED.

Figure 2. Single-unit interface.




Figure 3. Quad-unit interface.

NUM1=74		LDA ##1C	
NUM2=61		STA APUC	(FLOAT)
APUD=\$9010	(DATA)	A2 BIT APUC	
APUC=\$9011	(CMD)	BMI A2	(WAIT)
DATA0=\$700	(RESULT)	LDA ##12	
*=\$800		STA APUC	(FMUL)
LDA #NUM1		A3 BIT APUC	
STA APUD		BMI A3	(WAIT)
LDA #0		LDA ##1E	
STA APUD		STA APUC	(FIXED)
STA APUD		A4 BIT APUC	
STA APUD	(FIRST #)	BMI A4	(WAIT)
LDA ##1C		LDA APUD	
STA APUC	(FLOAT)	STA DATA0	
A1 BIT APUC		LDA APUD	
BMI A1	(WAIT)	STA DATA0+1	
LDA #NUM2		LDA APUD	
STA APUD		STA DATA0+2	
LDA #0		LDA APUD	
STA APUD		STA DATA0+3	(RESULT)
STA APUD		BRK	
STA APUD	(SECOND #)	.END	

Figure 4. Single-unit multiply example.

	<pre> *=\$3000 BADR=143 BUF82=\$FE T082 LDY #0 LDA (BADR),Y BNE T01 LDY #3 LDA #0 T03 STA (BUF82),Y DEY BNE T03 RTS T01 CMP #C0 BCC T04 LDA #3F BNE T05 T04 CMP #40 BCS T06 LDA #40 T05 ASL A TAX INY LDA (BADR),Y ASL A TXA ROR A DEY STA (BUF82),Y LDA #FF LDY #3 T02 STA (BUF82),Y DEY BNE T02 RTS T06 ASL A TAX INY LDA (BADR),Y CMP #80 ORP #90 STA (BUF82),Y TXA ROR A DEY STA (BUF82),Y LDY #2 LDA (BADR),Y STA (BUF82),Y INY LDA (BADR),Y STA (BUF82),Y RTS </pre>	<pre> USES 42 USEC BASIC VAR LOC (5 BYTES) 8231 BUFFER (4 BYTES) CHECK IF EXP =0 AND IF SO SET ALL 8231=0 <C0 --> NO OVF CLEAR --> < OVF >=40 --> NO UF SET --> >= UF LEFT ONE SO CAN ROR NEED ACC Y=1 PUT M. SIGN INTO CARRY GET OVF OR UF APPEND CARRY TO IT Y=0 ALL ONES IN MANTISSA (INCL BIT 23) LINE UP FOR LATER ROR NEED ACC SAVED Y=1 SET CARRY IF BIT 7 SET BIT 7 TO 1 RECALL DATA APPEND CARRY Y=0 DIRECT TRANSFER OF THESE BYTES (TRUNCATE 4 TO 3) </pre>
--	--	--

Figure 5. AIM-to-8231 format conversion.

	*\$3100	USES 54 USEC
TOBA	LDY #4	
	LDA #0	
	STA (BADR),Y	ALWAYS ZERO
	DEY	Y=3
BA1	LDA (BUF82),Y	
	STA (BADR),Y	
	DEY	3,2,1 BUT NOT Y=0
	BNE BA1	
	AND #\$FF	CHECK IF=0 ALWAYS (SET FLAGS)
	BNE BA2	
	STA (BADR),Y	BYTE ZERO ALSO=0
	RTS	
BA2	LDA (BUF82),Y	NOT=0
	BMI BA3	SKIP IF M.S. OK
	INY	Y=1
	LDA (BUF82),Y	
	AND #\$7F	M.S.=0
	STA (BADR),Y	
	DEY	Y=0
BA3	LDA (BUF82),Y	
	AND #\$7F	CLEAR M.S. BIT (EXP NOW)
	CMP #\$40	SET CARRY IF >= \$40
	BCS BA4	
	ORA #\$80	SET E.S.
BA4	STA (BADR),Y	
	RTS	

Figure 6. 8231-to-AIM format conversion.

APPENDIX

TIMELINE SIMULATION

The entire simulation is somewhat lengthy, so only the subroutine that actually calculates the time intervals will be discussed. It can then be used as needed in large systems. All other subroutines eventually call this one. It calculates and plots CPU time plus the four APU times, idle as well as busy. It checks for illegal overlap, namely, writing to an already busy APU. Preventing such overlap is the software designer's responsibility since the hardware cannot prevent it.

There are six subroutine parameters. T1 is the total CPU time including any subroutine call overhead. The assumed normal situation is that a subroutine is being simulated. If not, then T1 is made negative and the call overhead time is not included. The user is expected to include the subroutine return overhead time whenever it occurs since this is CPU time. Subroutine call overhead is automatically added unless T1 is negative. The return overhead is not automatically handled because it often is separated from the first part of its subroutine and because it is easier to handle than the call overhead.

Dt is the delta time (CPU) until an APU is first operated. The subroutine call overhead, if any, is included in Dt. Both T1 and Dt are measured from the time before a subroutine call was initiated, if any.

The P value is the time that an APU is committed to a task, either executing an instruction, or loading or unloading a command or data. A minus sign means the CPU will wait until that APU is ready.

If Grf = 1, then the full graph will be drawn. If Grf = 0, only execution times will be available to be printed (by external routines). The graph shows busy time for the CPU and each of the four APU's. Available CPU and APU times are immediately visible. The final time is always available and it can be worst-case (typically) or otherwise, depending on needs. Other items such as percent utilization, efficiency, etc., of various schemes could be added.

Any sequence of machine code can be simulated with this subroutine. Pure CPU time can be done as well as all overlapped APU's. Routines may have to be split in various ways to make them fit. Variable times will need to be fixed, with, usually, either the minimum or maximum value.

Figure A-1 is the subroutine listing as written in HP 9845 BASIC. It assumes a 2 MHz 6502 and 4 MHz APU's. The calculation portion can easily be adapted to another machine, but the graphics may be more involved.

Figure A-2 shows the results when this subroutine is applied to the LSS project. Changes are easy to make and their effects easy to see. With so much overlap taking place, making a change often produces an unexpected result. Times used here are in microseconds and there are no fractional values permitted. Calculated final time is 19.5 ms worst case, and measured times were in the 18 ms range.

Earlier results indicated over 20 ms (22.1) and various otherwise idle times were put to use in achieving the reduction. Further reduction would be quite difficult. Only a 10 percent reduction as obtained here is probably not typical, since the original code was already tightly written.

**ORIGINAL PAGE IS
OF POOR QUALITY**

```


1090 SUB C(T1,Dt,P1,P2,P3,P4)
1100 COM X1,X2,Y1,Y2,Z1,Z2,E1,E2,V1,C,Xx,Yy,Fadd,Fmul,Nop,Fsub,Flts,Ptof,Popf,Xc
hf,Chsf,Fixs,Grf
1110 Cc=C+3*(T1>=0)
1120 Dc=Dt-3*(T1>=0)
1130 M=MAX((X2-Cc)*(P1<0),(Y2-Cc)*(P2<0),(Z2-Cc)*(P3<0),(E2-Cc)*(P4<0),0)
1140 M=INT(INT((M+1)/3.5)*3.5)
1150 Mm=Cc+Dc+M
1160 Cx=Mm
1170 IF (P1>0) AND (X2=Cx) THEN PRINT "APU1 OVERLAP";C;T1;Dt;X2-Mm
1180 IF (P2>0) AND (Y2=Cx) THEN PRINT "APU2 OVERLAP";C;T1;Dt;Y2-Mm
1190 IF (P3>0) AND (Z2=Cx) THEN PRINT "APU3 OVERLAP";C;T1;Dt;Z2-Mm
1200 IF (P4>0) AND (E2=Cx) THEN PRINT "APU4 OVERLAP";C;T1;Dt;E2-Mm
1210 T1=ABS(T1)+M
1220 IF (P1=0) OR (P1=-1) THEN 1250
1230 IF X2<=C THEN X1=Mm
1240 X2=Mm+ABS(P1)
1250 IF (P2=0) OR (P2=-1) THEN 1280
1260 IF Y2<=C THEN Y1=Mm
1270 Y2=Mm+ABS(P2)
1280 IF (P3=0) OR (P3=-1) THEN 1310
1290 IF Z2<=C THEN Z1=Mm
1300 Z2=Mm+ABS(P3)
1310 IF (P4=0) OR (P4=-1) THEN 1340
1320 IF E2<=C THEN E1=Mm
1330 E2=Mm+ABS(P4)
1340 REM PRINT C;T1;Dt;M;Mm;P1;P2;P3;P4,X1;X2;Y1;Y2;Z1;Z2;E1;E2
1350 IF Grf=0 THEN C=C+T1
1360 IF Grf=0 THEN 1640
1370 Ty=Yy*Xx/6
1380 FOR T=C TO C+T1-1
1390 IF (T<>INT(T/Ty)*Ty) OR (T=0) THEN 1440
1400 DUMP GRAPHICS
1410 V1=-5
1420 GCLEAR
1430 GRID 10,6,0,Yy,5,1,2
1440 Tt=T MOD Xx
1450 Ts=Tt+1
1460 IF (Tt=0) AND (T<>0) THEN V1=V1+6
1470 IF (T>=Cc) AND (T<Cc+M) THEN 1500
1480 MOVE Tt,V1
1490 DRAW Ts,V1
1500 IF (T<X1) OR (T>=X2) THEN 1530
1510 MOVE Tt,V1+1
1520 DRAW Ts,V1+1
1530 IF (T<Y1) OR (T>=Y2) THEN 1560
1540 MOVE Tt,V1+2
1550 DRAW Ts,V1+2
1560 IF (T<Z1) OR (T>=Z2) THEN 1590
1570 MOVE Tt,V1+3
1580 DRAW Ts,V1+3
1590 IF (T<E1) OR (T>=E2) THEN 1620
1600 MOVE Tt,V1+4
1610 DRAW Ts,V1+4
1620 NEXT T
1630 C=T
1640 SUBEXIT

```

Figure A-1. Timeline subroutine.

AB 3X3 919
 ACCEL 1816
 WT 3X3 3175
 QTGET 4872
 QGET 4417
 AT 3X3 5945
 TROT 6842
 ACCEL 8503

ORIGINAL PAGE IS
 OF POOR QUALITY



WD 3X3 10011
 QBGET 10908
 QGET 11253
 ZANDWB 12781
 ZANDWT 14508
 TORK 16258
 END 1st 6 17341
 END 2nd 6 18684
 FINAL TIME 19455





Figure A-2. LSS timeline.

APPROVAL

HARDWARE MATH FOR THE 6502 MICROPROCESSOR

By Ralph Kissel and James Currie

The information in this report has been reviewed for technical content. Review of any information concerning Department of Defense or nuclear energy activities or programs has been made by the MSFC Security Classification Officer. This report, in its entirety, has been determined to be unclassified.



W. C. BRADFORD
Director, Information and Electronic
Systems Laboratory